



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 10/674,828   | 10/01/2003  | Steven W. Havens     | 690-001             | 8799              |
| 7590   | 06/26/2006  |                      | EXAMINER            |                   |
| Law Offices of John Chupa & Associates PC<br>28535 Orchard Lake Road<br>Suite 50<br>Farmington Hills, MI 48334 |             |                      |                     | NGHIEM, MICHAEL P |
|  |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        | 2863              |

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                               |                     |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>        | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|                          | 10/674,828                    | HAVENS, STEVEN W.   |
|                          | Examiner<br>Michael P. Nghiem | Art Unit<br>2863    |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael P. Nghiem.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John Chupa.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-26 and 42-50.

Identification of prior art discussed: Springgs et al. (US 2005/0046569).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants propose cancelling claims 1-26,42-47, and amending claim 48 to insert "providing an output which is based upon said processed received data" to overcome the 101 issues and placing the case in better condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required